IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE KURIAN JOSEPH

FRIDAY, THE 6TH JULY 2007 / 15TH ASHADHA 1929

WP(C).No. 17674 of 2004(D)

PETITIONER:

S.MOLLY, ST.JUDE BHAVANAM, CHEMMAKKAD,
PERINAD, KOLLAM.

BY ADV. SRI.BABU JOSEPH KURUVATHAZHA

RESPONDENTS:

---

1. THIRUVANANTHAPURAM CORPORATION REP.
BY ITS SECRETARY, OFFICE OF THE
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM CORPORATION,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

2. THE SECRETARY,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM CORPORATION,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

3. THE KARAMANA CO-OPERATIVE URBAN BANK
LIMITED, NO.1761, KARAMANA,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM REP. BY ITS SECRETARY.

BY ADV. SRI.N.NANDAKUMARA MENON for R1 & R2
SRI.K.VINODKUMAR
SRI.P.K.MANOJKUMAR

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 06/07/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

APPENDIX

PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS:

EXT.P1: A TRUE COPY OF THE PROCEEDING BEARING NO. LP.CA 10/536/2003 DATED 05.09.2003 ISSUED FROM THE OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY DIRECTOR OF LOCAL FUND AUDIT, COPORATION AUDIT, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM TO THE 2ND RESPONDENT.

EXT.P2: A TRUE PHOTO COPY OF THE NON-LIABLITY CERTIFICATE BEARING NO. T.P9/E1/45023/02 DATED 20.08.2003 ISSUED BY THE 2ND RESPONDENT.

EXT.P3: TRUE PHOTO COPY OF THE DEATH CERTIFICATE OF THE PETITIONER'S HUSBAND ISSUED BY THE REGISTRAR OF BIRTH & DEATH OF PERINAD GRAMA PANCHAYAT BEARING NO.P6.D/04/02(16) DATED 25.04.2002.

EXT.P4: A TRUE COPY OF THE LETTER BEARING NO. OL/245/97-98/KCUB DATED 28.04.2003 ISSUED BY THE 3RD RESPONDENT TO THE 2ND RESPONDENT.

EXT.P5: A TRUE COPY OF THE ORDER NO. TF9/E1/45028/2002 DATED 25.10.2003 ISSUED BY THE 2ND RESPONDENT.

EXT.P6: A TRUE COPY OF THE REPRESENTATION FILED BY THE PETITIONER BEFORE THE 2ND RESPONENT DT. 15.10.2003.

EXT.P7: A TRUE COPY OF THE ORDER BEARING NO. A9/TP9/E1/45023/02 DATED 22.05.2004 ISSUED BY THE 2ND RESPONDENT.

/True Copy/

P.A to Judge.

KURIAN JOSEPH , J

==========

W.P.(C) NO. 17674 OF 2004

==========

Dated this the 6th day of July, 2007.

JUDGMENT

The petitioner approached this Court with certain grievances regarding non-disbursement of eligible benefits due to her husband. In view of the intervening developments, in case the petitioner has still any grievance left, she may approach the 2nd respondent, in which case, the matter will be duly considered by the 2nd respondent with notice to the petitioner and 3rd respondent and appropriate action, in accordance with law, will be taken within another three months.

The writ petition is disposed of accordingly.

KURIAN JOSEPH, JUDGE.

rv